

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/689,123	10/20/2003	Mark H. Falahee	FLH-10802/29	2909
7590 07/13/2005			EXAMINER	
John G. Posa			NGUYEN, CAMTU TRAN	
Gifford, Krass,	Groh, Sprinkle,			•
Anderson & Citkowski, P.C.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
280 N. Old Woodward Ave., Suite 400			3743	
Birmingham, N	MI 48009-5394			

DATE MAILED: 07/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u>></u>
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/689,123	FALAHEE, MARK H.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Camtu T. Nguyen	3743
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 A</u> This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		• 8 (
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o		
Application Papers		•
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the darawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
•		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)	ate
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

This Office Action is in response to applicant's amendment filed on April 26, 2005.

Claim 1 has been amended. The claims, as amended, have been carefully considered but deemed not allowable in view of newly discovered references applied below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Marshall

(U.S. Patent No. 4,205,680). Marshall discloses in Figure 2 a medical sponge (10) having a series of radiopaque indicators (21). With regards to claim 2, Figure 2-4 illustrating the radiopaque indicators (21) sheathed by handle (14), thereby, the indicators (21) can be visible to the human eyes by the bulkiness.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kliger. (U.S. Patent No. 3,911,922). Kliger discloses a medical sponge (2) composed of a fabric layer (4) having dispersed throughout finely barium sulfate (12). Figure 1 illustrating the dispersed barium sulfate (12). However, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to arrange the dispersed barium sulfate (12) in a coordinated grid, e.g. a Cartician grid, with distance markings and as such would have assisted a healthcare provider in precise length or a distance during a procedure.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Marshall (U.S. Patent No. 4,205,680) or Kliger (U.S. Patent No. 3,911,922), as applied above, and further in view of Muller (U.S. Patent No. 4,899,762). Marshall or Kliger, above, discloses a medical sponge but does not teach the sponge includes idodine or other antiseptic, as recited. Muller discloses the flat base (20) may be mutually compatible antiseptic material as layered in Figure 4. Therefore it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to apply the antiseptic material onto Marshall's or Kliger's sponge as such enhance antiseptical environment during a procedure.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Camtu T. Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-0537. The examiner can normally be reached on (M-F) 8:30-5:00.

Art Unit: 3743

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry A. Bennett can be reached on 703-308-0101. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Camtu Nguyen June 30, 2005

Supervisory Patent